Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,417	BINGGELI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>121</u>		
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)		
(2) Brian C. Remy {Reg. No. 48,176}.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 April 2006	Time: <u>10:39am</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.		·	
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 51 and 54-56  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
Lam & Stockton_			
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature - if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative to inform him that the products were allowable and that permission was being sought to cancel rejoined claims 54-56, directed to methods of use, since these claims raised a question of patentability under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Mr. Remy was informed that rejoined claim 51, directed to a process

of making, was also allowable.